



uSPEQ® Consumer Survey Report: January 2025

Prepared for

Headway Ireland

Dublin,
IRELAND



uSPEQ®
6951 East Southpoint
Road
Tucson, Arizona 85756
USA
Voice 888.877.3788
www.uspeq.org



Table of Contents

Preface

Technical Notes

Survey instrument Report parameters

Response Rate

1. Survey Results Highlights

- 1.1. Top five survey items with positive responses
- 1.2. Potential areas for improvement

2. Survey Results by Time Period

- 2.1. Service responsiveness
- 2.2. Informed choice
- 2.3. Respect
- 2.4. Participation
- 2.5. Overall value



This report provides statistical and graphical information about the uSPEQ® survey of your organization. It is about how your consumers perceived the services being provided to them. Surveying consumers for their perception of services is one important means for assessing the quality of services. Understanding varying levels of satisfaction by program or site, demographic characteristics, and across time can point to areas where services have been effective as well as areas for improvement, uSPEQ is intended to complement other outcome tools and administrative measures of quality. Our goal is to provide a report that is useful to you and supports your efforts to improve the quality of services at your organization.

This report begins with highlights from the survey, including the strengths of your organization and areas for improvement. For each of the survey items, the percent of positive responses (Strongly Agree and Agree) is graphed by survey sections. These survey items include Tier 1 universal items and optional Tier 2 items selected by your organization. Whenever historical data are available for your organization, summary statistics for the current period are compared to the preceding period and/or the preceding calendar year. Further comparisons are made by site and by program for each of the survey items if they are available. Please note that percent positives are graphed for survey items with at least 10 responses per category. Demographic characteristics of the survey respondents are also provided for your organization overall, and by program and by site. Finally, custom Tier 3 items submitted by your organization are presented by program and by site if available.

Appendix A contains an analysis of the Top Box scores, or "Strongly Agree" response choices. summarized at the organizational level. This section displays the five items receiving the highest percent Strongly Agree, along with the five items receiving the lowest percent Strongly Agree for the entire survey. This measure, distinct from the survey highlights (Section 1.1. and 1.2.), provides additional information and direction regarding potential areas for improvement. Appendix B contains the summary statistics on each program and site (if available), for each item, by rating category (i.e., Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree) on a four-point scale. Appendix C includes the comments report, which provides a listing of comments by respondents during the current reporting period. Due to the potential length of respondent comments, these are provided separately.

Please note that percentages may not add to 100 due to the effect of rounding. Also note that in instances where a very small number of respondents chose a given response category, percentages may be displayed as "0.0%", again due to rounding. Custom reports may be produced at additional cost. If you are interested in having other types of reports tailored for the specific needs of your organization, please contact uSPEQ staff to discuss options, pricing, and availability.

For more information about the uSPEQ reporting service, please contact the uSPEQ Research and Reporting team at:

> uSPEQ 6951 East Southpoint Road Tucson, Arizona 85756 Voice: (888) 877-3788 info@uspeq.org



Technical Notes

Survey instrument

The uSPEQ Consumer Experience Survey was designed to capture common concerns and domains across varied settings and diverse populations. Consisting of 20 cross-cutting "Tier 1" items, optional "Tier 2" program-specific items, and custom "Tier 3" items, the primary purpose of uSPEQ is to gather feedback from persons served regarding their perceptions of the quality of service they are currently receiving or have received in the past. The uSPEQ items reflect five quality-related domains: service responsiveness, informed choice, respect, participation, and overall value. uSPEQ has undergone rigorous psychometric testing and independent expert assessment. For information concerning its psychometric properties, please contact uSPEQ staff.

Report parameters

This report was generated using the following parameters:

Organization:	Headway Ireland
Current Reporting Period:	January 2025 - January 2025
Previous Reporting Period:	January 2024 - March 2024
Previous Calendar Year:	January 2024 - December 2024



Response Rate

The graph shows the response rate for the current period. The table below shows the number of questionnaires distributed, the number of questionnaires received and response rate for the current period, previous period, and previous year.

Response Rate for January 2025

	Number distributed	Number received	Response rate
Jan-2025	N/A	264	N/A
Mar-2024	N/A	204	N/A
2024	204	204	100.0%

Note: Response rate chart, number distributed, and/or response rate are not available as the number distributed was either not reported or was reported as less than the number of responses received.




1. Survey Results Highlights

1.1. Top five survey items with positive responses

This section presents the top five items for the entire survey. Responses for the current reporting period are summarized at the organizational level..

Percent positive (agree + strongly agree)




Note: Items with less than 10 responses are not graphed.



1.2. Potential areas for improvement

This section presents the five items with the lowest percent positive ratings. Responses for the current reporting period are summarized at the organizational level.



Note: Items with less than 10 responses are not graphed.




2. Survey Results by Time Period

This section reports results for each survey section. The graphs represent percent positive (Agree + Strongly Agree) responses for each item for the current time period as compared to the previous period and the previous year; the data are summarized at the organizational level. To avoid potential misleading information, items with less than 10 responses per time period are not included in the graphs. The following tables provide frequency distributions for each item for the current period, summarized at the organizational level.



2.1. Service Responsiveness




_	,		
Response	nı/	ratina	Cateanry
NESDUIISE	ν	IULLIU	CULEUUIV

	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
1.1. Service times OK for me					
	259	1.2%	3.5%	41.3%	54.1%
1.2. Got what I needed, when I neede	d it				
	258	1.2%	2.7%	39.5%	56.6%
1.3. Enough staff to meet needs					
	258	1.6%	4.3%	35.7%	58.5%




2.2. Informed Choice



Response by	y rating	categor	V
-------------	----------	---------	---

	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %	
2.1. Staff made accommodations						
	258	1.6%	1.9%	33.7%	62.8%	
2.2. Staff paid attention to what I said						
	260	1.2%	1.5%	28.1%	69.2%	
2.3. Opportunity to make important of	hoices					
	258	1.6%	1.6%	37.6%	59.3%	
2.4. Options explained in language I	understoo	d				
	258	1.6%	0.8%	31.8%	65.9%	
2.5. I agreed with goals in my plan						
	254	1.2%	2.8%	43.3%	52.8%	






Response	hu	ratina	catoaoru
Response	ν	rauna	cateaorv

	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
2.6. Staff understood needs					
	260	1.9%	2.3%	31.9%	63.8%
2.7. Involved in deciding goals					
	255	2.0%	2.7%	34.9%	60.4%
2.8. Staff explained how service will h	elp				
	255	1.2%	4.7%	32.5%	61.6%



2.3. Respect



Note: Items with less than 10 responses per time period are not graphed. Please refer to the response rate table for more information.


Response by rating category

3.1.	Respectful	of culture
------	------------	------------

- 3.2. Respected as a person
- 3.3. Staff respected privacy
- 3.4. Respectful of age
- 3.5. Respectful of gender

n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
259	0.8%		29.7%	69.5%
261	1.1%		26.1%	72.8%
258	0.8%	0.8%	26.0%	72.5%
260	0.4%	0.4%	26.5%	72.7%
260	0.8%		28.5%	70.8%






Response	hv	ratina	category
Nesponse	ν	rattria	category

		n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
3.6.	Respectful of sexuality					
		260	1.2%		30.0%	68.8%
3.7.	Staff communicated in a way I un	derstood				
		260	1.2%	1.2%	26.5%	71.2%
3.8.	Information was kept private					
		259	0.4%	0.4%	24.7%	74.5%



2.4. Participation




Response by	y rating	categoi	ry
-------------	----------	---------	----

	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
4.1. Able to deal with everyday activities					
	259	2.7%	10.8%	44.8%	41.7%
4.2. Able to make important choices					
	258	1.2%	5.0%	43.8%	50.0%
4.3. Know where/how to get help in community					
	256	1.6%	9.0%	49.6%	39.8%
4.4. Able to do needed things without barriers					
	256	2.3%	10.5%	50.0%	37.1%
4.5. Do better in social situations					
	259	1.5%	2.3%	37.8%	58.3%




2.5. Overall Value



Response l	by rating	category
------------	-----------	----------

5.1. Would recommend to a friend	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
	259	0.8%	2.3%	22.4%	74.5%
5.2. Services met my expectations					
	251	0.8%	3.2%	29.5%	66.5%
5.3. Felt safe here					
	259	0.8%	0.8%	19.7%	78.8%
5.4. Services enabled me to do things better					
	255	0.8%	3.9%	32.2%	63.1%
5.5. Met my need					
	255	1.2%	2.4%	29.8%	66.7%





Note: Items with less than 10 responses per time period are not graphed. Please refer to the response rate table for more information.

Response by rating category

	n	Strongly disagree %	Disagree %	Agree %	Strongly agree %
5.6. Services exceeded my expectation	ns				
	256	1.6%	7.8%	31.3%	59.4%
5.7. With other choices, would still co	me here				
	256	1.6%	2.0%	26.6%	69.9%